



Financial Assistance Award

DENALI COMMISSION
 510 "L" Street, Suite 410
 Anchorage, Alaska 99501
 (907) 271-1414

Project Number
 0001-DC1999-11 - Amendment No. 3

Denali Commission Accounting Code		
95X1200 95670000	FY 1999 Commission funding	\$16,274,000
95X1200 95670000	FY 2000 Commission funding	\$9,000,000
95X8056 95670000	FY 2000 TAPL funding	\$5,202,000
Total Commission Funding (1999 & 2000)		\$30,476,000

Recipient Name & Address

State of Alaska
 Alaska Energy Authority
 480 West Tudor
 Anchorage, AK 99503

 Phone (907) 269-3000
 Fax (907) 269-3044

Cost Share Distribution

	Denali Commission	AEA & other contributors	Totals
Bulk Fuel	\$20,297,500	\$11,890,000	\$32,187,500
Power generation	\$8,357,400	\$3,098,500	\$11,455,900
Design, AVTEC, other	\$1,821,100	\$100,000	\$1,921,100
Totals	\$30,476,000	\$15,088,500	\$45,564,500

Authority
 112 Stat 1854

Project Title
 Bulk Fuel Consolidation Upgrades and Power
 Generation (FY 1999 & 2000)

Award Performance Period
 From: June 3, 1999
 To: October 31, 2005

This Financial Assistance Award approved by the Federal Co-Chair of the Denali Commission is issued in triplicate and constitutes an obligation of federal funding. By signing the three documents, the Recipient agrees to comply with the Award provisions indicated below and attached. Upon acceptance by the Recipient, two signed Award documents shall be returned to the Federal Co-Chair of the Denali Commission and the Recipient shall retain the third document. If not signed and returned without modification by the Recipient within 30 days of receipt, the Federal Co-Chair may unilaterally terminate this Award.

- EDA Standard Terms and Conditions Public Works and Implementations Construction Components, dated 3/99
- Special Award Conditions and Attachments
- Line Item Budget
- 15 CFR 24, Uniform Admin Requirements for Grants/Cooperative Agreements to State and Local Governments (www.access.gpo.gov/nara/cfr/waisidx_99/15cfr24_99.html)
- OMB Circular A-87, Cost Principles for State and Local Governments and Indian Tribal Governments (www.whitehouse.gov/OMB/circulars/a087/a087-all.html)
- OMB Circular A-133, Audits of States, Local Governments and Indian Tribal Governments (www.whitehouse.gov/OMB/circulars/a133/a133.html)
- 15 CFR, Part 14, Uniform Administrative Requirements for Grants and Agreements with Institutions of Higher Education, Hospitals, Other Nonprofit, and Commercial Organizations (www.access.gpo.gov/nara/cfr/waisidx_99/15cfr14_99.html)
- OMB Circular A-122, Cost Principles for Nonprofit Organizations (www.whitehouse.gov/OMB/circulars/a122/a122.html)
- OMB Circular A-21, Cost Principles for Educational Institutions (www.whitehouse.gov/OMB/circulars/a021/a021.html)
- EDA Standard Terms and Conditions Capacity Building Programs
- Department of Commerce Financial Assistance Standard Terms and Conditions, dated 10/98

Signature of Authorized Official - Denali Commission

Typed Name and Title

Jeffrey Staser, Federal Co-Chair

Date

10/26/00

Signature of Authorized Official - AEA

Typed Name and Title

Robert Poe, Jr., Executive Director

Date

10/31/00

**Award Conditions to the Financial Assistance Award
Amendment No. 3 to Project No. 0014-DC-2000-I3
Bulk Fuel Consolidation Upgrades & Power Generation (1999 &2000)
Alaska Energy Authority**

1. This Amendment No. 3 combines Denali Commission funding of FY1999 and FY2000 to address insufficient project funds for a number of projects identified in this Agreement. The shortage of funds is due to a number of causes including cost overruns, expanded project scopes, and under estimation of project costs.
2. The scope of work for this project includes completion of projects identified under Amendment No. 2, as well as 7 individual new projects funded with FY2000 funds. Total Commission funding (FY99 and FY2000) for the Alaska Energy Authority (Authority) through this Agreement is \$30,476,000; total funding (including from other sources) is \$45,564,500. Commission funding is intended for use for the scope of work specified above only. Commission funding is intended for use in the three broad areas of a) bulk fuel construction, b) power generation, and c) other projects such as the circuit rider program and AVTEC generator purchase for operator training. Any surplus of funds (on one or more of the projects funded under this Agreement) may be used on other projects, identified under this Agreement that may be experiencing a project shortfall. The intent is not to set aside a specific sum of Commission funding to a particular community, but rather that the projects, identified under this Agreement, are all completed successfully. Shifting of costs between project line items (as shown in the attached project spreadsheets) may be allowed with prior written approval from the Commission Project Officer. In the event there is a balance of funding after the project is completed, then the Commission will determine how the excess funds will be allocated.
3. The Project Officers for the Authority are Mr. Chris Mello for purposes of project design and construction and Ms. Amy McCollum for purposes of financial management. The Project Officer for the Denali Commission is Mr. Joel Neimeyer.
4. The Authority shall submit written progress reports to the Commission Project Officer quarterly (January/March, April/June, etc.). The reports are due within 30 calendar days of the end of the quarter. Interim reports are required in the event of significant project events that may extend the project schedule and/or impact the project budget. Quarterly progress reports shall include a narrative summary of the project status and accomplishments to date, and address the following questions: is the project on schedule, is the project on budget, and what actions are planned to address any project problems. These progress reports shall be sent to:

Denali Commission
510 "L" Street, Suite 410
Anchorage, AK 99501

The Commission reserves the right, at a future date, to direct the Authority to provide the progress reports in electronic format for posting on the Commission web page.

5. Three forms of project financial reports are required.

- A. Project financial status reports shall be submitted to the Commission Project Officer quarterly (included as part of the quarterly progress reports). For each project that includes funding from the Denali Commission, the quarterly financial status report shall show the following:
- i. the total project budget
 - ii. the total amount of Denali Commission funds committed to the project
 - iii. the total project expenditures as of the end of the most recent quarter
 - iv. the total expenditure of Denali Commission funds for the project as of the end of the most recent quarter
 - v. the percentage of expenditures to the total budget; and
 - vi. a project performance analysis on project line items, as defined by the Authority, showing budget costs compared to actual expenditures and obligations to date versus work performed to date (for each line item).
- B. Monthly project checking account summaries (or at other intervals as requested by the Commission Project Officer – but not more frequently than monthly) for each project and revolving fund account summary shall be provided to the Commission Project Officer (see Paragraph No. 7 below).
- C. A final Financial Status Report (Standard Form 269 – www.whitehouse.gov/OMB/grants/index.html#forms) shall be submitted to the Commission Project Officer within 90 days after the end of the Award Performance Period. If the Period is longer than one year, or if the Agreement is revised to extend the Award Performance Period beyond one year, the Authority must annually submit a completed Standard Form 269 within 90 days after the end of each anniversary of the award date.
6. The cost principles of OMB Circular A-87 are applicable to this award. Since there are no indirect costs included in the assistance award budget, they are not allowable under this Agreement.
 7. The Authority agrees to comply with the requirements of OMB Circular A-133, “Audits of States, Local Governments and Non-Profit Organizations.”
 8. To receive payment, the Authority must submit a “Request for Advance or Reimbursement”, Standard Form-270, to the Commission Project Officer. On Standard Form-270 the Authority will request that the Commission transfer the funds directly to a non-interest bearing checking account (“Account”) in the name of the Authority at a bank insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation. The Authority shall move Commission funds for this Agreement from the Account into separate project checking accounts at a bank insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation. These project-level fund transfers shall occur when project funds are required to make payment upon Authority approved project invoices or when requesting project expenditure advances. It is expected that the Authority will forecast by letter or spreadsheet format, Commission

funds or project expenditure advances needed on a monthly (or as needed) basis for each project. The Commission reserves the right to consolidate project level payments into one payment. This does not preclude more frequent Commission payments, then monthly, given project specific needs. The Commission Project Officer may review all payments to determine if payments made from the separate project checking accounts are justified and supported by appropriate records, invoices and other documentation. The Commission reserves the right to prohibit further payments to the Authority, at any time, if discrepancies involving the checking account funds arise, until such time that the discrepancies are resolved to the satisfaction of the Commission. Pay requests for Authority administrative costs shall be on a separate Standard Form – 270 (quarterly) from project pay requests.

9. To the maximum extent feasible, considering applicable laws, the Authority shall accomplish the work of this Agreement utilizing local labor and small, minority owned and disadvantaged businesses.
10. No portion of this award may be used for lobbying or propaganda purposes as prohibited by 18 U.S.C. Section 1913 or Section 607(a) of Public Law 96-74.
11. Attached and incorporated into these Award Conditions are the following documents:
 - a. notes to Amendment No. 3 which describe specific project changes
 - b. spreadsheet of projects sorted alphabetically, and
 - c. spreadsheet of projects sorted by project type
12. Project budget revisions shall be in accordance with 15CFR24.30(c.iii.2). Written request by letter from the Authority Project Officer to the Commission Project Officer for concurrence is necessary for budget revision approval.
13. The Commission and the Authority shall work together to develop a “private enterprise policy.” Issues to be addressed by the policy shall include, but shall not necessarily be limited to, the following:
 - a) consultation with all entities in a community providing fuel sales (for-profit and non-profit) about a consolidated fuel facility concept
 - b) establishment of a range of contribution (from minimum to maximum) to be paid by private fuel sale entities for inclusion in the consolidated facility; and
 - c) measures to insure that private enterprise is not negatively impacted by bulk fuel consolidation projects.
14. Based upon proposed Federal funding, project level environmental reviews in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA) are required for each project undertaken with Denali Commission funds. In accordance with NEPA and NHPA legislation, the Authority shall address all potential environmental concerns (specific and cumulative effects) associated

with the projects, identified in this Agreement, in consultation with applicable Federal, State, and local authorities. If the scope of work is changed in the future, then the Authority will revisit the project level environmental reviews to determine if potential environmental concerns must be further addressed. In the interest of streamlining the project level environmental reviews and avoid duplication of effort, any other Federal Agency environmental review process carried out by the Authority on projects, identified in this Agreement, shall meet the intent of this paragraph.

15. The Authority has agreed, in concert with the Denali Commission, to utilize an agreed-upon amount of Denali Commission funds for the expansion of the Authority's Circuit Rider Program. This program provides for periodic visits to communities by staff or contractors to: a) inspect utility power plants, electrical distribution systems and bulk fuel facilities b) to perform preventive maintenance tasks as needed, and c) to provide on-site operator training. These tasks are intended to help ensure reliable and efficient performance of these systems in the future. During the State's fiscal year 2001, the Circuit Rider program will also provide condition assessments for rural electric utility systems that will be entered into the Authority's electronic data base.
16. In the interest of establishing and maintaining an aggressive construction program the following Commission policy shall be employed by AEA. First, AEA shall evaluate the condition of bulk fuel storage facilities and electric utility systems in rural Alaska, and will develop a list of these facilities ranked in the order of their physical condition. AEA will also acquire information on the operations and maintenance performance of rural electric utilities that may be incorporated in the project selection process. With the exception of several communities, the bulk fuel storage condition assessments have been completed. AEA will make its best efforts to complete the condition assessments for the remaining bulk fuel storage facilities and for the rural electric utility systems by December 1, 2000.

Second, as described in a previous Agreement between the Commission and the Alaska Industrial Development and Export Authority (AIDEA) for completion of a statewide energy plan, the contractor retained to conduct the rural component of the energy plan will prepare a list of alternative energy projects that are recommended for Commission funding. The alternative energy project list will be included by the contractor in the final report of the rural energy plan.

The third step is for the Commission to provide funding for completion of initial project designs, as needed. Any issues requiring resolution prior to full design and construction will be identified and resolved during this phase. If project issues cannot be resolved in a reasonable time frame, the Commission expects AEA to move down the priority list(s) to projects that are ready to proceed to full design and construction. It is expected that the Commission and AEA will meet quarterly to review the progress of projects and collaboratively determine if reasonable progress is being made and what steps should be taken next for those projects requiring further attention.

Many issues like facility location, project ownership, construction costs, and operation and maintenance capabilities may require considerable time to resolve. However, these issues must be resolved in a manner that is both satisfactory to the community and is cost effective. Although AEA has a key responsibility to facilitate agreement, the community bears the ultimate responsibility for resolving these issues. As a matter of policy, the Commission wants as much construction to occur during the limited Alaska construction season, yet allow appropriate time for the community to actively participate in the development of the project. Consequently, AEA in consultation with the Commission must decide which projects are ready to proceed well in advance of the construction season, and which are not. If a project is determined to be not ready to proceed to construction, AEA shall reallocate Commission funds to projects that are ready for final design and construction. If this occurs, the Commission and AEA shall work together in an effort to assign future funding to the initial project after consensus on design and other project characteristics have been reached.

Notes to Amendment 3 - Project No. 0001-DC1999-I1
Alaska Energy Authority Financial Assistance Award
October 2, 2000

AEA Personnel Services (FY2000 funding): A total of \$566,000 has been set aside for AEA personnel services associated with the addition of approximately \$14.152 Million in Denali Commission funds provided in this Amendment No. 3. This is approximately 4% of the total Commission funds to be provided.

Arctic Village BF: New project cost is 1,897,100. An additional \$1,010,000 in Commission funding is required for additional bulk fuel storage capacity and some increase in project costs due to logistical obstacles of getting equipment and material to the village.

Arctic Village RPSU: New project cost is \$792,100. An additional \$47,100 in contributor funding has been provided to address the previously mentioned logistical challenges.

Atka Hydro: Reduced initial authorization of \$600,000 to \$575,000 to provide funding for personal services for AEA staff.

AVTECH (FY2000 funding): Funding of \$155,000 in Commission funding is provided to purchase state-of-the-art generator systems for training of new power plant operators.

Buckland (FY 2000 funding): Bulk fuel project will be accelerated to take advantage of on-site equipment and contractor constructing a new school. This should realize a savings of \$100,000 to \$150,000 in project costs. Project cost is estimated at \$2.3 million.

Chefornak: Initial authorization from the Denali Commission was \$200,000; requested and received authorization to expend an additional \$300,000 for failure of contracted award of gravel delivery; new contractor provided gravel at an additional cost. An additional \$525,000 was added from FY99 grant funds for payment of gravel contractor and costs of remobilizing equipment. Total authorized funding from the Denali is \$725,000; State contribution has increased from \$276,000 to \$876,000.

Chignik Bay/Lagoon/Lake (FY 2000 funding): This project is a combined consolidated facility for the communities of Chignik Bay, Chignik Lake and Chignik Lagoon that will be located in Chignik Bay. Due to shallow water depths, only very small landing crafts and boats can access Chignik Lake and Chignik Lagoon. Essentially, the consolidated facility will allow these two communities to store sufficient fuel for the winter in Chignik Bay as well as serve Chignik Bay's storage needs. Estimated cost of the facility is \$3.2 million. An additional \$400,000 of Commission funds will be used to consolidate the tank farms in Chignik Lagoon.

**Notes to Amendment 3 - Project No. 0001-DC1999-I1
Alaska Energy Authority Financial Assistance Award
October 2, 2000**

Circuit Rider FY01 (FY 2000 funding): Funds approved in the amount of \$100,000 from the Denali Commission for use on the Circuit Rider Program. State funds of \$100,000 will also be utilized for this program. This program is designed to provide technical assistance directly to the village power plant operator for operational concerns associated with maintaining bulk fuel and power plant facilities.

Deering: Revised project cost is now \$961,400. An additional \$200,000 in Denali Commission grant funds will be utilized for increased project costs associated with the construction of the power plant facility housed in a combined use building with the water treatment plant. The building was constructed by the State Village Safe Water program. Despite the higher construction cost, the community will benefit significantly from the close proximity of waste heat from the power plant used to lower operational costs of the water plant.

Design Projects – FY1999: No additional costs, however due to cost savings the additional communities of Port Protection, Point Baker, and Hoonah were added to the list.

Design Projects – FY2000: These 20 communities identified for 35% bulk fuel facility designs include: Sand Point, Gambell, Koyuk, Clark's Point, Egegik, Kasigluk, Akiachak, Sleetmute, Noatak, Mountain Village, White Mountain, Akutan, Platinum, Stebbins, Igiugig, Newhalen, Port Heiden, Shageluk, Deering, and Sheldon Point.

Eagle: Initially budgeted \$12,000 in Denali Commission funds to assist with the purchase and installation of a tank in Eagle during the winter of 1999. Funds from the Denali Commission were not utilized and returned to the main fund. Project is closed.

Emmonak: An additional \$840,000 in contributor funding has been provided to expand the proposed facility storage capacity.

Golovin: Reduced initial Denali Commission authorization of \$350,000 to \$330,400 for personal services costs for AEA staff. Project is completed and there is a projected cost savings to the project of \$15,000 to \$20,000. Any cost savings will be returned to the Denali Commission fund for use on another project.

Hughes: Reduced initial Denali Commission authorization of \$750,000 to \$720,000 for personal services costs of AEA staff. An additional \$170,000 is required for a total project cost of \$965,000. The additional funds are needed for improvements to the electrical distribution that were not anticipated when the project was originally funded for only the power plant.

**Notes to Amendment 3 - Project No. 0001-DC1999-11
Alaska Energy Authority Financial Assistance Award
October 2, 2000**

Kiana BF: The estimated cost of the project is now \$2.7 million. An additional \$666,700 in funds from the Denali Commission and an additional \$33,300 of AEA funds are required based upon the delivery of the completed full design package.

Kotlik BF (FY 2000 funding): FY99 Denali Commission funds in the amount of \$25,000 have been utilized for 35% design. Funds in the amount of \$500,000 are being utilized from the FY00 funds for mobilization and only pile foundation work for the bulk fuel project, which will begin at the completion of the electrical project. The AEA has received notice from HUD that Kotlik will be awarded \$500,000 for a bulk fuel project. It is expected that an additional \$2,300,000 will be required to complete this project beyond the piling work.

Kotlik RPSU: An additional contribution to the project was made by the AEA utilizing \$300,000 in EPA funds and \$105,000 in VSW funds. Total project cost is now \$1.255 million.

Koyukuk: Initial allocation of FY99 Denali Commission funds to Koyukuk was \$500,000. This amount was reduced by \$25,000 for personal services cost of AEA staff, leaving Commission funding of \$475,000.

Lower Kalskag: The 35% design costs have indicated that the project is estimated to cost \$3,100,000. The project is placed on hold pending community site selection and final definition of project scope. Previously identified Commission funding of \$1 Million will be directed to other projects leaving only \$100,000 in Commission and \$136,500 in contributor funding to work through design and planning issues. Originally the concept was for a combined bulk fuel facility that would serve Upper and Lower Kalskag together. Both communities are not in support of this concept. The communities are 5 miles from each other with a good gravel road connecting them.

Napaskiak BF: No change to this project.

Newtok RPSU (FY 2000 funding): Awarded \$473,500 in funds from FY00 Denali Commission funds.

Nikolski: The 35% design costs estimate the project cost is \$1,300,000. An additional \$330,000 in Denali Commission funds are required to complete the project. The local school district has contribution \$20,000 to the project, as well as the community for \$100,000. The State has currently contributed \$50,000, however, an additional State contribution of \$100,000 is yet to be made.

Nome Line Extension: Reduced initial Denali Commission authorization of \$750,000 to \$725,000 for personal services costs for AEA staff. The State

**Notes to Amendment 3 - Project No. 0001-DC1999-I1
Alaska Energy Authority Financial Assistance Award
October 2, 2000**

contributed \$25,000 in electrical funds for match instead of the initial contribution stated of \$45,000.

Old Harbor Hydro: Reduced initial authorization from \$1,000,000 to \$975,000 for personal services costs for AEA staff in the amount of \$25,000. The community also received a \$900,000 federal grant from the USDOE and \$200,000 grant from AEA for this project. AEA will work with AVEC and the community to develop an Agreement for transfer of project funding and project responsibility to the satisfaction of all parties.

Old Harbor Emergency: Initial authorization of \$12,000. A total of \$2,700 was returned to Denali Commission fund and project is complete. Expended \$9,300 in Denali Commission and \$85,000 in State funds.

Port Graham: The estimated project cost after the 35% design is \$1,020,000. An additional allocation of \$300,000 of Denali Commission funding is necessary. State contribution of \$165,000 in initial grant was projected to be made up of cash and staff time. Actual cash contribution to-date is \$100,000; an additional State contribution of \$20,000 is required.

Stevens Village (FY 2000 funding): This project was approved at the January 21, 2000, Denali Commission meeting. Denali Commission funds are awarded in the amount of \$703,000. The community has been awarded a HUD grant in the amount of \$500,000 for this project.

Tanana BF: Estimated project cost after the 35% design is \$2.6 million. An additional \$1,156,000 in Denali Commission funding is required to address increased community bulk fuel storage capacity. Total non-Denali contributions to-date is \$544,100.

Toksook Bay: An additional \$1,100,500 in Denali Commission funds are required. The State contribution was originally projected to be \$165,000 made up of cash and staff time. The State contribution of \$150,000 will still need to be made for match to the project. The additional funds are to address increased community bulk fuel storage capacity.

Tuntutuliak BF Phase I: The new estimated project cost is \$2.2 million. An additional \$500,000 in Denali Commission funds are required to address changes in project site location and increased community bulk fuel storage capacity.

Tuntutuliak BF Phase II: School portion of consolidation project was discontinued, resulting in \$200,000 returned to Denali Commission main fund for

Notes to Amendment 3 - Project No. 0001-DC1999-I1
Alaska Energy Authority Financial Assistance Award
October 2, 2000

use on another project. This project is closed out. There will be only one consolidated bulk fuel farm in Tuntutuliak.

Tuntutuliak RPSU (FY 2000 funding): This project is estimated to cost \$1.4 million. The Denali Commission portion is \$1,180,500. This project will be done concurrently with the bulk fuel project in Tuntutuliak.

Upper Kalskag: The 35% design costs are estimated at \$2,700,000. The project is on hold pending community site selection and confirmation of the project scope. Denali Commission funding will be reduced to \$100,000, and \$600,000 in Commission funding will be directed to other projects. The balance of \$362,500 in Upper Kalskag project funding (contributor and Commission) will be used to address design and planning issues. Originally the concept was for a combined bulk fuel facility that would serve Upper and Lower Kalskag together. Both communities are not in support of this concept. The communities are 5 miles from each other with a good gravel road connecting them.

DC Project #	Community Name	Project Type	Amendment No. 2			Amendment No. 3		
			Denali Commission Contribution	Other Contributions - includes AEA in-kind	Total Cost	Adjustment (+/-) to Denali Comm Funding	Other Contributions	Total Cost
			(\$ In thousands)	(\$ In thousands)	(\$ In thousands)		(\$ In thousands)	(\$ In thousands)
0001-DC1999-11	AEA Personnel Services		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
FY2000	AEA Personnel Services		0.0	0.0	0.0	566.1	0.0	566.1
0001-DC1999-11	Arctic Village	Bulk Fuel	600.0	225.0	825.0	1,010.0	15.0	1,850.0
0001-DC1999-11	Arctic Village Electrical	RPSU	700.0	45.0	745.0	0.0	47.1	792.1
0001-DC1999-11	Atka Hydro (Chuniisax Creek)	RPSU	600.0	100.0	700.0	(25.0)	0.0	675.0
FY2000	AVTECH	RPSU	0.0	0.0	0.0	155.0	0.0	155.0
FY2000	Buckland	Bulk Fuel	0.0	0.0	0.0	2,300.0	0.0	2,300.0
0001-DC1999-11	Chefomak	Bulk Fuel	200.0	1,240.0	1,440.0	525.0	1,250.0	3,215.0
FY2000 (TAPL)	Chignik Lagoon/Bay/Lake	Bulk Fuel	0.0	0.0	0.0	3,600.0	0.0	3,600.0
FY2000	Circuit Rider Program		0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	200.0
0001-DC1999-11	Deering	RPSU	400.0	358.0	758.0	200.0	3.4	961.4
0001-DC1999-11	Design - 35% conceptual	Bulk Fuel	500.0	0.0	500.0	0.0	0.0	500.0
FY2000	Design - 35% conceptual	Bulk Fuel	0.0	0.0	0.0	500.0	0.0	500.0
0001-DC1999-11	Eagle	Bulk Fuel	12.0	27.0	39.0	(12.0)	0.0	27.0
0001-DC1999-11	Emmonak	Bulk Fuel	900.0	700.0	1,600.0	0.0	840.0	2,440.0
0001-DC1999-11	Golovin	RPSU	350.0	45.0	395.0	(19.6)	0.0	375.4
0001-DC1999-11	Hughes	RPSU	750.0	45.0	795.0	170.0	0.0	965.0
0001-DC1999-11	Kiana	Bulk Fuel	1,500.0	500.0	2,000.0	666.7	33.3	2,700.0
0001-DC1999-11	Kotlik Electrical	RPSU	700.0	150.0	850.0	0.0	405.0	1,255.0
FY2000	Kotlik - structural foundation only	Bulk Fuel	0.0	0.0	0.0	500.0	500.0	1,000.0
0001-DC1999-11	Koyukuk	RPSU	500.0	45.0	545.0	(25.0)	0.0	520.0
0001-DC1999-11	Lower Kalsag - partial project funding	Bulk Fuel	1,100.0	165.0	1,265.0	(1,000.0)	(28.5)	236.5
0001-DC1999-11	Napaskiak	Bulk Fuel	200.0	775.0	975.0	100.0	425.0	1,500.0
FY2000	Newtok	RPSU	0.0	0.0	0.0	473.5	0.0	473.5
0001-DC1999-11	Nikolski	Bulk Fuel	700.0	100.0	800.0	330.0	170.0	1,300.0
0001-DC1999-11	Nome	RPSU	750.0	45.0	795.0	(25.0)	(20.0)	750.0
0001-DC1999-11	Noorvik	Bulk Fuel	300.0	2,750.0	3,050.0	0.0	(400.0)	2,650.0
0001-DC1999-11	Old Harbor	Hydro	1,000.0	945.0	1,945.0	(25.0)	155.0	2,075.0
0001-DC1999-11	Old Harbor Emergency	Bulk Fuel	12.0	85.0	97.0	(2.7)	0.0	94.3
0001-DC1999-11	Port Graham	Bulk Fuel	600.0	165.0	765.0	300.0	(45.0)	1,020.0
FY2000	Stevens Village	RPSU	0.0	0.0	0.0	703.0	500.0	1,203.0
0001-DC1999-11	Tanana	Bulk Fuel	900.0	493.0	1,393.0	1,156.0	51.1	2,600.1
0001-DC1999-11	Toksook Bay	Bulk Fuel	1,700.0	165.0	1,865.0	1,100.5	(15.0)	2,950.5
0001-DC1999-11	Tuntutuliak (1)	Bulk Fuel	400.0	904.0	1,304.0	500.0	537.6	2,341.6
0001-DC1999-11	Tuntutuliak (2)	Bulk Fuel	200.0	300.0	500.0	(200.0)	(300.0)	0.0
FY2000	Tuntutuliak Elect	RPSU	0.0	0.0	0.0	1,180.5	230.0	1,410.5
0001-DC1999-11	Upper Kalsag - partial project funding	Bulk Fuel	700.0	195.0	895.0	(600.0)	67.5	362.5
TOTAL			16,274.0	10,567.0	26,841.0	14,202.0	4,521.5	45,564.5

10/18/00

Amendment No. 3 - Project No. 0001-DC-1999-11 - Sorted Alphabetically

Amendment No. 3 - Proj. No. 0001-DC-1999-11 - sorted by Project Type 10/18/00

Community Name	Amendment No. 2			Amendment No. 3		
	Denali Commission Contribution	Other Contributions - includes AEA in- kind	Total Cost	Adjustment (+/-) to Denali Commision Funding	Other Contributions	Total Cost
	(\$ In thousands)	(\$ In thousands)	(\$ In thousands)	(\$ In thousands)	(\$ In thousands)	(\$ In thousands)
Miscellaneous Obligations						
AEA Personnel Services	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
AEA Personnel Services	0.0	0.0	0.0	566.1	0.0	566.1
AVTECH	0.0	0.0	0.0	155.0	0.0	155.0
Circuit Rider Program	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	200.0
Design - 35% conceptual	500.0	0.0	500.0	0.0	0.0	500.0
Design - 35% conceptual	0.0	0.0	0.0	500.0	0.0	500.0
Subtotal	500.0	0.0	500.0	1,321.1	100.0	1,921.1
Bulk Fuel Construction						
Arctic Village	600.0	225.0	825.0	1,010.0	15.0	1,850.0
Buckland	0.0	0.0	0.0	2,300.0	0.0	2,300.0
Cheformak	200.0	1,240.0	1,440.0	525.0	1,250.0	3,215.0
Chignik Lagoon/Bay/Lake	0.0	0.0	0.0	3,600.0	0.0	3,600.0
Eagle	12.0	27.0	39.0	(12.0)	0.0	27.0
Emmonak	900.0	700.0	1,600.0	0.0	840.0	2,440.0
Kiana	1,500.0	500.0	2,000.0	666.7	33.3	2,700.0
Kotlik - structural foundation only	0.0	0.0	0.0	500.0	500.0	1,000.0
Lower Kalsag - partial project funding	1,100.0	165.0	1,265.0	(1,000.0)	(28.5)	236.5
Napaskiak	200.0	775.0	975.0	100.0	425.0	1,500.0
Nikolski	700.0	100.0	800.0	330.0	170.0	1,300.0
Noorvik	300.0	2,750.0	3,050.0	0.0	(400.0)	2,650.0
Old Harbor Emergency	12.0	85.0	97.0	(2.7)	0.0	94.3
Port Graham	600.0	165.0	765.0	300.0	(45.0)	1,020.0
Tanana	900.0	493.0	1,393.0	1,156.0	51.1	2,600.1
Toksook Bay	1,700.0	165.0	1,865.0	1,100.5	(15.0)	2,950.5
Tuntutuliak (1)	400.0	904.0	1,304.0	500.0	537.6	2,341.6
Tuntutuliak (2)	200.0	300.0	500.0	(200.0)	(300.0)	0.0
Upper Kalsag - partial project funding	700.0	195.0	895.0	(600.0)	67.5	362.5
Subtotal	9,424.0	8,564.0	17,988.0	6,963.5	3,086.0	28,037.5
Power generation constructon						
Arctic Village Electrical	700.0	45.0	745.0	0.0	47.1	792.1
Atka Hydro (Chuniisax Creek)	600.0	100.0	700.0	(25.0)	0.0	675.0
Deering	400.0	358.0	758.0	200.0	3.4	961.4
Golovin	350.0	45.0	395.0	(19.6)	0.0	375.4
Hughes	750.0	45.0	795.0	170.0	0.0	965.0
Kotlik Electrical	700.0	150.0	850.0	0.0	405.0	1,255.0
Koyukuk	500.0	45.0	545.0	(25.0)	0.0	520.0
Newtok	0.0	0.0	0.0	473.5	0.0	473.5
Nome	750.0	45.0	795.0	(25.0)	(20.0)	750.0
Old Harbor	1,000.0	945.0	1,945.0	(25.0)	155.0	2,075.0
Stevens Village	0.0	0.0	0.0	703.0	500.0	1,203.0
Tuntutuliak Elect	0.0	0.0	0.0	1,180.5	230.0	1,410.5
Subtotal	5,750.0	1,778.0	7,528.0	2,607.4	1,320.5	11,455.9
Total	26,198.0	19,131.0	26,016.0	10,892.0	4,506.5	41,414.5