



**Denali Commission**  
510 L Street, Suite 410  
Anchorage, AK 99501

907.271.1414 *tel*  
907.271.1415 *fax*  
888.480.4321 *toll free*  
[www.denali.gov](http://www.denali.gov)

---

## Denali Commission Transportation Advisory Committee Meeting Summary

This summary provides an overview of the FY 2009 Transportation Advisory Committee (TAC) Project Selection meeting, held in Anchorage, AK on December 16-17, 2008. The agenda and meeting minutes from the US Army Corps of Engineers meeting on December 18, 2008 in Anchorage, AK regarding project updates and the barge landing study are also included as an attachment. The summary also provides the list of the projects selected at the TAC project selection meeting.

The primary purpose of this meeting was for the TAC to select FY 2009 road and waterfront development projects on December 16<sup>th</sup> and 17<sup>th</sup>, 2008, for TAC approval and incorporation into the Transportation Program. Other Committee work included:

- Program overview including status of program finances and operations
- Review and discussion of draft Commission-wide project selection policies
- Receive the program quarterly report and updated project status list
- Report on major project partnerships with the FHWA Western Federal Lands Highway Division (WFLHD) and US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE)
- Review of project nomination and selection processes
- Set date and location of next meeting

In a separate meeting on December 18, several Committee members attended a Commission/USACE joint-projects status meeting. These monthly meetings are important to the success of the joint project mission. The December meeting included a review of the new project development section at the USACE, Interagency and International Support, that has been set up to serve an array of agency and international clients, including the Denali Commission.

Attachments: Meeting Agenda (TAC & Corps meetings)  
FY 2009 Draft Project List  
USACE Meeting Minutes December 18, 2008

## **Introduction**

### **Program Funding**

The Denali Commission Transportation Program is entering its fourth year of operations. Including a portion of FY 2009 funding, the program has received, based on formula established in SAFETEA-LU, and other appropriations, three full years of program funding as outlined below:

- \$45,000,000 Section 1960 roads
- \$14,000,000 Section 1934 waterfront development
- \$10,000,000 Section 5309 waterfront development
- \$2,000,000 Section 112 waterfront development
- \$7,300,000 Section 129 roads and waterfront development

Totals for Section 1960, 1934 and 5309 will increase slightly over the course of FY 2009 as Congress appropriates remaining annual formula funding. Of the \$78,300,000 identified above, the Commission has obligated over \$63,000,000 to 132 projects. These projects include 18 in the planning phase, 37 in design and 77 in construction and/or completed.

In addition to the federal funding, the Department of Transportation and Public Facilities (DOT&PF) received a State FY 2007 \$4,500,000 State General Fund appropriation to provide required match funds for Commission projects. To date, DOT&PF has transmitted \$1,900,000 to the Commission, with over \$1,000,000 currently obligated.

### **Program Development**

The TAC and Denali Commission management, through the program's project nomination and selection processes have successfully guided the program to a focus on basic safety and quality of life capital projects throughout rural Alaska. Agencies and communities recognize this focus across the state as an appropriate target for program funding.

Selected road projects are generally basic community street improvements and waterfront development projects have focused on community needs and projects to improve regional transshipment operations. An emerging and important capital project effort is barge landing improvements throughout coastal and riverine Alaska that can significantly improve the safety and efficiency of barge operations. These barge landing improvements are likely to become a significant portion of program's future waterfront development work.

Over the course of program development, the FHWA Western Federal Lands Highway Division, and the US Army Corps of Engineers have become central partners in project delivery. In both cases, product delivery and interactions with communities has been excellent. Partnerships with regional borough and tribal governments continue to develop and improve, and many midsized coastal communities continue to successfully partner with the Commission on local port and harbor projects. DOT&PF also continues to be a solid partner on state-owned local roads projects that improve safety and quality of life.

The key to program success continues to be grounded in the annual project nomination process that maintains local control over project scope, and the TAC project selection process that keeps the Commission focused on rural Alaska's most pressing transportation improvement needs.

### **Meeting Start Up**

Due to an array of factors, largely assignable to the Program Manager, the Committee faced not only the material mailed to them prior to the meeting, but also a mass of paperwork when they arrived at the meeting conference room.

What initially was a stack of reports at 9:00 am quickly became a mound of paper that by 10:00 am had literally melded into one large paper morass on the conference table. There were two lessons learned from this experience. One, all documents to be used at the meeting must be in the binders sent to committee members 3-4 weeks in advance of the meeting. The Committee has to have time to review and be familiar with all documents. Two, if additional documents are brought to the meeting, they and their respective index sheets must be put into the binders before the meeting begins.

### **Project Selection**

After staff reports, a draft Commission policies discussion, and Committee housekeeping items, the project nomination process got underway. The Committee's experience with project selection quickly revealed several trends that held throughout the FY 2009 process:

- An established project nomination process and Committee consensus about project priorities has narrowed the range of nominated projects. It is apparent from the FY 2009 nominations that communities generally understand from posted ranking criteria and project selection guidelines that the Commission is looking to address basic rural transportation needs.
  - Community street and board road reconstruction and extension
  - Regional port improvement and small boat harbor inner-harbor facilities construction
- As a result, the Committee saw a narrow range of project scores for basic repairs and improvements took away some of the numeric scoring value.
- It became clear through project discussions both amongst Committee members and with project sponsors, whether a project was ready for the stage of work being requested and whether the project was serving a basic transportation improvement purpose
- In those cases where project sponsors had sufficient funds for design, the Committee asked the sponsor to complete design and return to the Commission for consideration of construction funding when final design documents were complete
- In some cases, there was a need to segregate utilities including water/sewer, lighting and electrical from the road work to evaluate eligible construction costs and to determine the percent of participation a Commission award would make to the road element. In at least one major project, this exercise showed the road element was not ready for construction and that the Commission contribution would have been 100%, which is undesirable given the opportunity for Tribal Shares to contribute to the project
- Community, regional and in some cases, owner support played key roles in decisions about accepting some projects. In at least two cases, local sponsors nominated good projects, but either the land or the facility itself was owned by another entity or agency. If the owner did not support the nomination, the Committee did not evaluate the project, and asked the owner and sponsor to coordinate on a new nomination for FY 2010
- In some cases, the Committee selected a project for funding, but did so with directions to the sponsor. In the case of the Gambell Evacuation Road project for

instance, the Committee specified that Commission funding go to the first 2.5 miles of roadway, as this is the distance to a safe elevation and the proposed road beyond that distance is to reach other termini.

- Four projects moving from design to construction, or experiencing substantive construction phase increases did not have nomination forms. These were approved after much Committee discussion, and in light of funding availability. However, the Committee directed staff that in the future all such proposals need to be submitted as project nominations within the timing and document requirements of new nominations.
- Several waterfront development projects were approved with the requirement that there be a review in July to determine that proposed State General Funds were in fact assigned to the projects through the State legislative and executive capital budget processes. Projects that did not receive needed funding would be withdrawn.
- In the waterfront development program, the Committee investigated all opportunities to joint fund projects with the State of Alaska. In the case of the Gustavus Dock, the requested funding of \$1,300,000 was reduced to \$750,000 with the remaining 50% to come from a State General Fund appropriation.
- In another example, the King Cove Boat Harbor project was assigned the full \$1,500,000 request, even though it is substantially over the recommended cap of \$1,000,000 because that funding matched to \$1,500,000 in local funds brought in \$3,000,000 in State funds to the community's harbor rebuild.
- A late project brought to the nomination process during the meeting was the recently completed reconnaissance engineering report for the Shaktoolik Evacuation Road. The project, which was discussed and approved during the meeting, will gain a design year. This type of extenuating circumstance is accounted for in the project selection procedures, but the Committee clearly would have appreciated having this and other project presented under ordinary procedures and documentation.

### **Project Nomination and Selection Process Modifications**

At the close of the meeting, the Committee directed staff to implement the following modifications to the Project Nomination and Selection Process:

- Begin the nomination process on August 1 as usual, but include a specific effort to notify rural regional entities including boroughs, regional hub communities, regional tribal non-profits, Community Development Quota organizations and other organizations to ensure they are aware of the nomination process and schedule
- Extend the project nomination period by 30 days to November 1 each year to provide additional time after fishing and hunting seasons for nominations
- Add a third meeting to the annual calendar. A meeting in early November would examine project nominations and would receive annual progress reports from major Program partners

- Reschedule the Committee's Project Selection Meeting to mid-January each year to provide adequate time for staff products, including due diligence efforts to review and/or prepare all project nominations
- All projects to be considered by the Committee including Commission projects transitioning from one phase to another must be submitted in the project nomination format and must be submitted to the Committee in the original mail out of the project books 3-4 weeks in advance of the project selection meeting
- Staff is to request an opportunity to present the Transportation Program to the annual CDQ meeting and at annual regional organization meetings as appropriate

### **Committee Operations**

As part of an overall review of Committee operations, the Committee directed staff to prepare material for a May 2009 Committee retreat. Staff will investigate the merit of a facilitated meeting and report to the Committee by March. Materials and/or issues for discussion at the retreat include:

- Review of ranking criteria to determine whether it is practical to develop a project ranking system within the established priorities that creates more separation in scores for basic projects
- Review of how to accommodate paving in approved projects. The Committee continues to struggle with the issue of participating in the paving phase of road projects. It is critical in many cases to preserve the road bed and to reduce dust and mud, but with so many unimproved roads in rural Alaska, paving can seem like a luxury. The Committee will attempt to develop specific guidelines for supporting paving as part of approved projects
- Review and recommend improvements to the project status report. This includes making the report more streamlined and adding a new column to indicate each project's 'difficulty score'. Using a 1-5 designation indicate progress and funding stability with 1 being steady progress based on established scope-schedule-budget, and 5 being a project that is not moving forward and/or is likely to be closed out

### **Project Selections for Commission approval and incorporation into the Transportation Program system**

The attached FY 2009 project selections are provided for Commission review and approval. Once approved, staff will send letters to each project nomination sponsor to indicate:

- Whether their project was selected for the Transportation Program
- If selected, what level of funding was assigned to the project
- If selected, what agency is responsible for development and delivery? This is a new step in the program system. Most Borough, medium sized cities, regional Tribal non-profits and DOT&PF, will continue to receive awards directly. However, most small governments, city or Tribal, will see their projects assigned to FHWA-competent development agencies as experience has shown Title 23 CFR regulations that govern Commission funding are too complex and burdensome for small community resources. WFLHD will be the Program's major road projects partner, while the USACE handles most waterfront development projects, including the major barge landing design effort currently underway



**Denali Commission**  
 510 L Street, Suite 410  
 Anchorage, AK 99501

907.271.1414 *tel*  
 907.271.1415 *fax*  
 888.480.4321 *toll free*  
[www.denali.gov](http://www.denali.gov)

**Transportation Advisory Committee Meeting**  
**December 16-17, 2008**  
**East Conference Room**  
**Teleconference: 1.866.524.6520 Pass Code: 2393460**

***-Day 1-***

9:00 – 9:30	Welcome, Introductions, Agenda Discussion, Opening Comments and Review and Approve June 4, 2008 Meeting Minutes	George Canelos Mike McKinnon
10:00 -12:00 Includes a 15-Minute Break	Program Briefing and Review: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Policy and Legislation Issues</li> <li>• Review of Status Report</li> <li>• Special Road Projects and Denali Commission Road Projects Moving from Design to Construction</li> <li>• Highway Reauthorization Discussion and Program Planning</li> </ul>	Mike McKinnon Automme Circosta Jeff Ottesen
12:00 -12:15	Project Selection Procedures and Method	Automme Circosta
12:15 - 1:30 Working Lunch	Roads Projects Evaluations	Committee and Staff
3:00 – 3:15 BREAK		
1:30 - 5:00	Continue Roads Projects Evaluations	Committee and Staff
5:00 - 5:30	Staff Assignments and Preparations for Day 2 Meeting	Committee and Staff
<b>5:30</b>	<b>Adjourn</b>	



**Denali Commission**  
 510 L Street, Suite 410  
 Anchorage, AK 99501

907.271.1414 *tel*  
 907.271.1415 *fax*  
 888.480.4321 *toll free*  
[www.denali.gov](http://www.denali.gov)

**Transportation Advisory Committee Meeting**  
**December 16-17, 2008**  
**East Conference Room**  
**Teleconference Number: 1.866.524.6520 Pass Code: 2393460**

***-Day 2-***

9:00 – 9:30	Agenda Update and Discussion	George Cannelos Mike McKinnon
9:30 -10:00	Program Briefing and Review Update: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Review of Special Waterfront Projects and Denali Commission Waterfront Projects Moving from Design to Construction Phase</li> </ul>	Mike McKinnon Automme Circosta
10:00 -10:15	Project Selection Procedures and Method Review	Automme Circosta
10:15 -11:30	Complete Roads Projects Evaluations	Committee and Staff
11:30	Begin Waterfront Projects Evaluations	Committee and Staff
12:30 - 1:30 Working Lunch	Continue Waterfront Projects Evaluations	Committee and Staff
3:00 – 3:15 BREAK		
3:15 – 5:00	Continue Waterfront Projects Evaluations	Committee and Staff
5:00-5:30	Assign Staff Assignments Set Schedule and Location for Summer Meeting	Committee and Staff
5:30	<b>Adjourn</b>	



**Denali Commission  
Transportation Program**

**Road Project Selections  
Fiscal Year 2009**

<b>Road Project Selection</b>	<b>Amount Funded</b>	
<b>Planning</b>		
Akiak Rehabilitation Roads	\$	200,000
DCCED Ortho Map Project	\$	160,000
Kalskag to Yukon River Study	\$	100,000
Kodiak Island Transportation Study	\$	125,000
Oscarville to Bethel Road Recon	\$	150,000
Pilot Station Community Streets	\$	150,000
WFLHD IRT Project	\$	150,000
WFLHD Tech Services	\$	150,000
Tununak ATV Geo-Tech Trail	\$	200,000
<b>Planning Total</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>1,385,000</b>
<b>Design</b>		
Manley Hot Springs Community Streets	\$	150,000
<b>Design Total</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>150,000</b>
<b>Construction</b>		
Atka Roadway Rehab	\$	1,000,000
Coffman Cove Road Paving	\$	900,000
Craig Community Streets Improvement	\$	1,000,000
Gambell Evacuation Road Project	\$	1,000,000
Manokotak Heights Road Repair/Rehab	\$	1,000,000
McGrath Road Reconstruction	\$	1,000,000
Nunam Iqua Boardwalk Construction	\$	1,000,000
Shaktoolik Evacuation Road	\$	1,000,000
<b>Construction Total</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>7,900,000</b>
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>9,435,000</b>



**Denali Commission  
Transportation Program**

**Waterfront Project Selections  
Fiscal Year 2009**

<b>Waterfront Project Selection</b>	<b>Amount Funded</b>
<b>Planning</b>	
Corps Tech Services	\$ 150,000
Platinum Dock	\$ 235,000.00
<b>Planning Total</b>	<b>\$ 385,000.00</b>
<b>Design</b>	
Barge Landing Design	\$ 1,500,000.00
Manley Hot Springs Landing Sanitary Facility Replacement	\$ 50,000.00
<b>Design Total</b>	<b>\$ 1,550,000.00</b>
<b>Construction</b>	
Chenega Bay Small Boat Harbor Renovation	\$ 1,000,000.00
Chignik Small Boat Harbor	\$ 1,000,000.00
Coffman Cove Harbor Expansion	\$ 650,000.00
Cordova Boat Haulout	\$ 700,000.00
Dillingham Boat Launch	\$ 215,000.00
Gustavus Transient Vessel Mooring Facility	\$ 650,000.00
King Cove North Old Dock Harbor Rehab	\$ 1,500,000.00
Marine Link to Akutan Airport	\$ 1,000,000.00
Naukati Harbor Improvements	\$ 500,000.00
OVK Multi-Use Dock Facility	\$ 1,000,000.00
Selawik Barge Landing Staging Area & Materials	\$ 300,000.00
Whale Pass Small Boat Float	\$ 400,000.00
<b>Construction Total</b>	<b>\$ 8,915,000.00</b>
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>\$ 10,850,000.00</b>

**Denali Commission and Alaska District COE  
Sponsor Status Meeting  
18 December 2008**

Participants:

Transportation Advisory Committee (TAC): Mike Hoffman, Randy Romenesko, Carvel Zimin, Steve Ivanoff, and Chuck Pool  
Denali Commission (DC): Michael McKinnon, Tessa Rinner, and Automme Circosta  
Alaska State Legislature: Captain Bob Pawlowski  
Economic Development Administration (EDA): Shirley Kelly  
Alaska District (COE): Patricia Opheen, Clare Jaeger, Robert Brock, and Melanie Harrop

- I. Corps and IIS Program (see PowerPoint presentation)
  - a. Environmental and Special Programs Branch
  - b. IIS Program
  
- II. General Discussion
  - a. What is the schedule of the Corps Alaska Baseline Erosion Report and the GAO report? The Corps Alaska Baseline Erosion Report will be finalized in March 2009 and the GAO report draft in April 2009.
  - b. Will the Coastal and Riverine Engineering Manuals be updated? Yes, the coastal engineering manual has been updated and is posted <http://chl.ercd.usace.army.mil/cem>
  - c. Mike Hoffman recommended a possible good project would be to improve navigation on the “Long Lake” on Johnson River where barges often become grounded for weeks.
  
- III. Existing Projects assigned to the Corps:
  - a. Barge Landing System Design Award 337-07 \$350,000.00
    - i. Project Status: 95% complete. Final report scheduled for completion by the end of January 2009.
    - ii. Agreement will be amended with a new expiration date of December 31, 2009.
    - iii. TAC recommended posting final report to the [DCCED website](#) in addition to DC and Corps to assure the document widely available to the public.
    - iv. TAC and DC suggested that this report be shared and coordinated with the Energy committee, CDQ groups, and others to help in collaborating and strategically executing the work.
    - v. Captain Bob Pawlowski asked if it would be possible to get approval for “No Review” under the coastal nationwide permits for executing these projects. The Corps will be seeking programmatic NEPA review and will seek to use nationwide permits.

- b. Pelican Harbor Rehabilitation Construction Award 332-07 \$100,000.00
  - i. Project Status: Corps has closed out project and returned funds.
  - ii. \$98,982.34 returned to DC so they can issue a grant directly to the City of Pelican.
  
- c. Perryville Dock Conceptual Design Award 335-07 \$160,000.00
  - i. Project Status: 95% complete. Final report due by 31 December 2008.
  - ii. DC requested that once the report is final they will provide direction for further action.
  - iii. DCCED requested a copy of final report be provided to Shirley Kelly.
  
- d. Construction Inspection Services Award 336-07 \$75,000.00:
  - i. Expiration date of December 30, 2009 will not be amended.
  - ii. Project Status: Pelican Harbor Rehabilitation and Kake Multi-use Dock construction inspection oversight will be funded from this account.
  - iii. Other construction inspection/oversight tasks under this Award will be discussed at the March status meeting.
  
- e. McGrath Barge Landing Design Award 338-07 \$85,000.00
  - i. Project Status: 95% complete. Final report due by 31 December 2008.
  - ii. Two alternatives were considered. This project will be terminated due to the high cost of design and construction and because this larger facility is not collocated with the community and the fuel headers. The preferred alternative is the barge landing project located at the community.
  
- f. Kongiganak Barge Landing Design Award 339-07 \$150,000.00:
  - i. Project Status: On hold
  - ii. DC has requested an amendment to reduce scope and to stay within a \$50,000.00 budget for this effort. The Corps is holding the work until the amended agreement with the change of scope has been accepted.
  - iii. DC has requested that the project tasks be undertaken as soon as possible after the Award amendment has been approved. Tasks include a review of the NEPA document, the design and a contract opportunities review.
  
- g. Kipnuk Barge Landing Design Award 340-07 \$100,000.00:
  - i. Project Status: Corps has closed out project and returned funds because this community was included in the Barge Landing System Design Report.
  - ii. \$99,064.95 returned to DC

- h. Chuathbaluk Barge Landing Design Award 341-07 \$125,000.00:
  - i. Project Status: Corps has closed out project and returned funds because this community was included in the Barge Landing System Design Report.
  - ii. \$124,877.80 returned to DC.
  
- IV. New Projects resulting from the TAC/DC Board Meeting; project agreements to be drafted by Mike McKinnon:
  - i. Amendment – Barge Landing System Design \$125,000 from Department of Transportation for Southeast, Southwest, and the Gulf of Alaska areas.
  - ii. Barge Landing Design for Priority Projects including, Preliminary Engineering, Develop Design, and Construction \$1,500,000
  - iii. Manley Hot Springs Reconnaissance \$50,000
  - iv. Goodnews Bay – Platinum Reconnaissance \$235,000
  
- V. Project Agreements pending DC processing to Corps:
  - a. Aniak Barge Landing Reconnaissance \$150,000.00 (Total estimated cost for construction \$2.89M.)
  - b. Nanwalek Safe-Harbor Reconnaissance Study \$140,000.00
  - c. Kwinhagak Harbor Entrance Improvements Reconnaissance \$200,000.00
  - d. Port Graham Public Dock Reconnaissance \$50,000.00
  - e. Port Lions City Dock and Ferry Terminal Repairs Reconnaissance \$50,000.00
  - f. Nondalton Dock and Launch Ramp Reconnaissance \$150,000
  
- VI. New Action Items:

Action	Responsible	Suspense	Status	Comments
Send out minutes for review	Melanie Harrop	24 Dec 08		
Submit 2 <sup>nd</sup> Quarter Reports to DC	Melanie Harrop	31 Mar 09		
Detailed Billing Statements Scan to DC Team	Melanie Harrop	Monthly		
Copy of Final Perryville Report to Shirley Kelly	Mike McKinnon Melanie Harrop	15 Jan 09		
Draft January Agenda for Strategic Planning of the implementation of the Barge Landing System Design	Melanie Harrop	31 Dec 08		
Review draft January agenda and provided comments to Corps	Mike McKinnon	2 Jan 09		
Schedule conference room at Denali Commission for January 21 meeting from 0900-1200	Automme Circosta	2 Jan 09		
Coordinate the posting of the Barge Landing System Design	Melanie Harrop	21 Jan 09		

final report to the DCCED website.				
Post the Barge Landing System Design final report to website of DCCED, Corps, and DC	Melanie Harrop Automme Circosta	30 Jan 09		
Draft scope for Manley Hot Springs and Goodnews Bay-Platinum for Project Agreement	Mike McKinnon	9 Jan 09		
Draft Scope for Administrative Fees for Preliminary Engineering, Develop Design, and Construction	Mike McKinnon	9 Jan 09		
Draft addendum scope for DOT SE, SW, and Gulf location to the Barge Landing System Design Report	Mike McKinnon	9 Jan 09		

**VII. Regularly Scheduled Status Meetings**

- a. When: January 21, 2009
- b. Where: Denali Commission
- c. When: 0900-1200
- d. Agenda suggested topics:
  - i. Strategic Planning for Implementation of the Barge Landing System Design
  - ii. Financial Review
- e. DC specified three decision points on each project
  - i. Reconnaissance Report
  - ii. NEPA/Environmental
  - iii. Right of Entry, Real Estate

**VIII. Action Items Status:**

Action	Responsible	Suspense	Status	Comments
Obtain approval for base access for DC team	Melanie Harrop	15 Oct 08	In Progress	Clarifying new base procedures
Finalize and sign new project agreements for Aniak, Nanwalek, Kwinhagak, Port Graham, and Port Lions	Mike McKinnon Melanie Harrop	30 Oct 08	In Progress	Corps has signed, pending DC procedures
Confirm Corps team for the DC Project Selection Meeting on 18 Dec 08 at DC	Clare Jaeger Melanie Harrop	5 Nov 08	Complete	POpheen, RBrock, MHarrop
Create presentation of projects and report for December meeting	Mike McKinnon Melanie Harrop Julie Anderson	1 Dec 08	Complete	
Send Agenda for December meeting to Corps	Mike McKinnon Automme Circosta	26 Nov 08	Complete	
Provide copy of Federal Hwy Program Design Manual	Mike McKinnon		Complete	
Send out minutes for review	Melanie Harrop	21 Nov 08	Complete	

Submit 1 <sup>st</sup> Quarter Reports to DC	Melanie Harrop	31 Dec 08	In progress	
Send Letter on Pelican Harbor Rehab Construct to DC recommending Grant	Melanie Harrop	21 Nov 08	Complete	
Close out and Return funds for Pelican Harbor Rehab Construct #332-07	Melanie Harrop	12 Dec 08	Complete	
Close out and Return funds for Kipnuk Barge Landing #340-07	Melanie Harrop	12 Dec 08	Complete	
Close out and Return funds for Chuathbaluk Barge Landing #341-07	Melanie Harrop	12 Dec 08	Complete	
Nondalton Dock New Project send updated draft of Project Agreement to DC for review and approval	Melanie Harrop	21 Nov 08	Complete	
Review updated project agreement for Nondalton Dock DC and Corps signature	Mike McKinnon	5 Dec 08	Complete	
Send Updated Barge Landing Sheet from Meeting to Team	Melanie Harrop	21 Nov 08	Complete	
Call Natural Resource Conservation Service (NRCS) to find out what work they are planning at McGrath for coordination effort	Melanie Harrop	21 Nov 08	Complete	NRCS is constructing a 1200 ft riprap erosion control project in the summer of 2009. The Corps and NRCS are coordinating projects.
Check Funding and Scope for adding Golovin and White Mountain to Barge Landing System Design Report	Julie Anderson	26 Nov 08	Deferred	Is contract modification required? Yes, cost \$2-5K. This project will be finalized as is and Golovin and White Mountain will be added as an addendum at a later date.

IX. Next Meeting January 21, 2009 from 0900-1200 at the Denali Commission.